

Research Update:

New Zealand-Based Manchester Unity Credit Union Ratings Affirmed On Criteria Revision; Outlook Stable

Primary Credit Analyst:

Andrew Mayes, Melbourne 61-3-9631-2078;andrew_mayes@standardandpoors.com

Secondary Contact:

Peter Sikora, Melbourne (61) 3-9631-2094;peter_sikora@standardandpoors.com

Table Of Contents

Overview

Rating Action

Rationale

Outlook

Rating Score Snapshot

Related Criteria And Research

Ratings List

Research Update:

New Zealand-Based Manchester Unity Credit Union Ratings Affirmed On Criteria Revision; Outlook Stable

Overview

- Following a review of Manchester Unity Credit Union (MUCU) under Standard & Poor's revised bank criteria (published Nov. 9, 2011), we have affirmed our issuer credit ratings on MUCU at 'B+/B'. The outlook is stable.
- Our ratings on MUCU reflect the anchor stand-alone credit profile for a financial institution operating only in New Zealand, plus MUCU's very weak business position, very strong capital and earnings, weak risk position, below average funding and adequate liquidity.
- The stable outlook reflects our expectation that MUCU's asset-quality experience and depositor support will remain sound amid an expectation that the credit union will seek to resolve its moderating business position through an amalgamation with a larger credit union prior to any need to reassess its business-risk position.

Rating Action

As previously announced, on Dec. 13, 2011, Standard & Poor's Ratings Services affirmed its issuer credit ratings on Manchester Unity Credit Union (MUCU) at 'B+/B'. The outlook is stable.

Rationale

Our ratings on MUCU reflect the anchor stand-alone credit profile (SACP) for a financial institution operating only in New Zealand, plus MUCU's "very weak" business position, "very strong" capital and earnings, "weak" risk position, "below average" funding and "adequate" liquidity.

Our bank criteria use the BICRA economic risk and industry risk scores to determine a bank's anchor SACP, the starting point in assigning an ICR. The anchor SACP for a bank operating only in New Zealand is 'bbb+'. The BICRA score factors in our evaluation of economic risk, where we view that: New Zealand is an open, flexible, and well-developed economy; risk of economic imbalances have eased following some deleveraging in the private sector and an orderly wind down of real estate prices in the recent years; and, high private sector debt is mitigated by track record of low credit losses, conservative lending practices, and a creditor-supportive legal framework. Our assessment of industry risk in the New Zealand banking sector is underpinned by conservative regulation and the sector's restrained risk appetite, which are partly offset by limited funding support from customer deposits and a material dependence on net external borrowings.

The SACP for MUCU is 'b+'.

MUCU's business position is assessed as "very weak", highlighting its very concentrated residential mortgage loan portfolio and small and slowly-declining market position (gross loans have declined by 13.1% since March 31, 2008, to about NZ\$33.3 million against a financial system asset base of about NZ\$184 billion) as a retail-focused financial service provider in the Hawkes Bay region of New Zealand. Although the credit union has been well supported by its member base in the past, particularly its deposit customers--aided by government-wide system support from 2008 through 2010--MUCU's small size and limited operational efficiency (in an environment of rising compliance costs) have brought into question the credit union's longer-term business viability and supports the prospect that it might look to amalgamate with another credit union or financial institution. MUCU's business model is based around churning the credit union's balance sheet without materially increasing its size, which limits its ability to achieve operating efficiencies that can support its ability to compete with other, larger, more cost-efficient and better-resourced financial institutions. Furthermore, our assessment of MUCU's business position remains moderated by ongoing challenges a small mutual like MUCU faces in securing a board composition with a strong board governance-oversight capability, and business pressures stemming from the current subdued operating environment, which has seen a significant drop in new lending opportunities.

Our "very strong" assessment of capital and earnings is underpinned by our forecast risk-adjusted capital (RAC) ratio for MUCU of 18.0%-18.5% in fiscal 2012, offsetting to an extent MUCU's very small capital base and limited financial flexibility. Our forecasts factor in an expectation of a further softening in gross lending volumes, supported by a very modest earnings projection for 2012, which remain unencumbered by very low credit costs relative to peers. The increasing RAC outlook also compensates for the three-year average earnings buffer of around minus 15 bps in 2012--against normalised loss expectations of about 25 bps--which indicates forecast earnings would be unable to cover normalised losses in 2012.

MUCU's "weak" risk-position assessment is based on our view that the credit union's lending concentration, narrow management and governance structure, and rudimentary risk-management practices are not sufficiently captured in our "very strong" capital and earnings assessment. In particular, MUCU's capital base of NZ\$3.7 million affords only minimal protection against a major negative event or operational risk problem. Further, we believe Standard & Poor's RAC calculation does not adequately capture MUCU's geographic concentration, nor does it adequately capture the single-name concentration (relative to capital) within its investment portfolio. That said, MUCU's credit-loss experience has been superior to that of both normalised loss expectations--actual credit losses were negligible in 2011--and relative to system, and we expect losses to remain very low in the medium term.

MUCU's funding is assessed as "below average" and liquidity is assessed as

"adequate". Despite exhibiting strong funding metrics, with MUCU's loan-to-deposit ratio superior to system average at 72.3%, comprising retail deposits only, we believe MUCU's funding profile is sensitive to future disruptions in confidence in the New Zealand credit union sector, while competitive pressures from major banks that are reliant on offshore wholesale funding also pose a threat, should they compete more aggressively for domestic retail deposits. In our view, MUCU has adequate liquidity to meet its deposit obligations, underpinned by its balance-sheet liquid-asset holdings and cash flows generated from its lending activities. The ratio of net broad liquid assets to short-term customer deposits stood at a strong 33.1% in 2011, well above the domestic average--albeit in part reflecting limited lending opportunities--which moderates MUCU's limited access to additional sources of liquidity.

Outlook

The outlook reflects our expectation that MUCU's asset-quality experience and depositor support will remain sound amid an expectation that the credit union will seek to resolve its moderating business position through an amalgamation with a larger credit union prior to any need to reassess its business-risk position.

The rating could come under downward rating pressure from a number of fronts. These include:

- A reassessment of its capital and earnings assessment to "strong" if forecast earnings are less than anticipated, prompting a reduction in its forecast RAC ratio to below 15%
- A reassessment of its risk position to "very weak" if key credit quality indicators deteriorated sharply, or an unexpected loss that materially undermined our current view of the credit union's enterprise-wide risk-management capability.
- A sharp weakening in MUCU's deposit reinvestment or depositor support experience, which led to a reassessment of its funding and liquidity position.

We believe the most likely path to a rating upgrade for MUCU rests with an amalgamation with a larger, more-diversified group.

Rating Score Snapshot

Issuer credit rating	B+/Stable/B
SACP	b+
Anchor	bbb+
Business position	Very weak (-5)
Capital and earnings	Very strong (+2)
Risk position	Weak (-2)
Funding and liquidity	Below average and adequate (-1)

Support	0
GRE support	0
Group support	0
Sovereign support	0
Additional factors	0

Related Criteria And Research

- Banks: Rating Methodology And Assumptions, Nov. 9, 2011
- Banking Industry Country Risk Assessment Methodology And Assumptions, Nov. 9, 2011
- Group Rating Methodology And Assumptions, Nov. 9, 2011
- Bank Hybrid Capital Methodology And Assumptions, Nov. 1, 2011
- Rating Government-Related Entities: Methodology And Assumptions, Dec. 9, 2010

Ratings List

Ratings Affirmed

Manchester Unity Credit Union

Issuer credit rating B+/Stable/B

Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect on the Global Credit Portal at www.globalcreditportal.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on Standard & Poor's public Web site at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Standard & Poor's (Australia) Pty. Ltd. holds Australian financial services licence number 337565 under the Corporations Act 2001. Standard & Poor's credit ratings and related research are not intended for and must not be distributed to any person in Australia other than a wholesale client (as defined in Chapter 7 of the Corporations Act).

Copyright © 2011 by Standard & Poors Financial Services LLC (S&P), a subsidiary of The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of S&P. The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P, its affiliates, and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions, regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact or recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P's opinions and analyses do not address the suitability of any security. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain credit-related analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com and www.globalcreditportal.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.